```
JOHN L. BURRIS (SBN#69888)
     Law Offices of John L. Burris
     Airport Corporate Centre
     7577 Oakport Road, Suite 1120
     OAKLAND, California 94621
 3
     Telephone: (510) 839-5200
     JAMES B. CHANIN (SBN# 76043)
     JULIE M. HOUK (SBN# 114968)
 5
     LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN
     3050 Shattuck Avenue
 6
     Berkeley, CA. 94705
     Telephone: (510) 343-4752, Ext. 2
 7
 8
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
 9
10
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
    RANDY MURPHY.
                                       ) CASE NO. C04-3052 TEH
13
                                         STIPULATION AND CRDER
14
                  Plaintiff,
                                         EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR
                                       DISCLOSURE OF EXPERT
15
    vs.
                                       WITNESS INFORMATION
15
     CITY OF OAKLAND, et al ..
17
                  Defendants.
                                      ) Trial Date: October 25, 2005
18
19
         THE PARTIES, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF
20
    RECORD, DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE that the deadline for the
21
    mutual disclosure of the identities of expert witnesses pursuant
22
     to F.R.C.P. 26 shall be extended to September 19, 2005, the
23
    deadline for the mutual exchange of expert reports pursuant to
24
    F.R.C.P. 26 shall be extended to September 23, 2005, and the
25
    deadline for the completion of expert depositions shall be
26
```

extended to October 15, 2005.

27

28

This extension is being requested mutually by counsel for the parties due to the complexity of the issues, the amount of 2 discovery that needs to be supplied to the parties experts for 3 review and because additional time is necessary for the retained 4 experts to complete their Rule 26 reports. 5 5 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 7 8 Dated: September \_\_, 2005 9 PETER J. HALLIFAX Attorney for Defendants 10 11 Dated: September 9, 2005 12 JAMES B. CHANIN Attorney for Plaintiff PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, 14 IT IS SO ORDERED: 15 SDISTRI Dated: 16 HENDERSON e United States Judge 17 IT IS SO ORDERED 18 19 Judge Thelton E. Henderson 20 DISTRICT 23 24 25 26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

28

This extension is being requested mutually by counsel for the parties due to the complexity of the issues, the amount of discovery that needs to be supplied to the parties experts for review and because additional time is necessary for the retained experts to complete their Rule 25 reports. IT IS SO STIPULATED: Dated: September 9 PETER J. HALLIYAX Authorney for Defendants Dated: September 9, 2005 CHANIN ES B. ttorney for Plaintiff PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS 30 ORDERED: Dated: \_ . 2005 THELTON E. HENDERSON Judge of the United States District Court

STIP/ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINES CASE NO. CC4-3062 TEM